1	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	BRUCE I. AFRAN, Esq.		
2	David W. Carpenter* Bradford A. Berenson*	10 Braeburn Drive Princeton, NJ 08540		
3	David L. Lawson* Edward R. McNicholas*	Telephone: (609) 924-2075		
4	Eric A. Shumsky #206164 1501 K Street, N.W.	MAYER LAW GROUP, LLC Carl J. Mayer		
7	Washington, DC 20005	66 Witherspoon Street, Suite 414		
5	Tel: (202) 736-8000	Princeton, NJ 08542		
6	Fax: (202) 736-8711 bberenson@sidley.com	Telephone: (609) 921-8025 Facsimile: (609) 921-6964		
7	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP	THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN E.		
8	Bruce A. Ericson #76342 Jacob R. Sorensen #209134	SCHWARZ, ESQ., LLC Steven E. Schwarz, Esq.		
0	Marc H. Axelbaum #209855	2461 W. Foster Ave., #1W		
9	50 Fremont Street	Chicago, IL 60625		
10	Post Office Box 7880	Telephone: (773) 837-6134		
10	San Francisco, CA 94120 Tel.: (415) 983-1000	Facsimile: (773) 837-6134 Attorneys for the Plaintiffs		
11	Fax: (415) 983-1200 bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com	Auomeys for the Frantinis		
12	Attorneys for Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation			
13	AT&T IIIC. and Bensouth Corporation	* admitted pro hac vice		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
1.0				
16	In re:	MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW		
16	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING		
		JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF		
17	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S		
17 18	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION;		
17 18 19	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER Date: June 3, 2009 Time: 10:30 a.m.		
17 18 19 20	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER Date: June 3, 2009		
17 18 19 20 21	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER Date: June 3, 2009 Time: 10:30 a.m. Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor		
17 18 19 20 21 22	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates To: McMurray v. Verizon Communications, Inc.,	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER Date: June 3, 2009 Time: 10:30 a.m. Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER Date: June 3, 2009 Time: 10:30 a.m. Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates To: McMurray v. Verizon Communications, Inc.,	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER Date: June 3, 2009 Time: 10:30 a.m. Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates To: McMurray v. Verizon Communications, Inc.,	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION; [PROPOSED] ORDER Date: June 3, 2009 Time: 10:30 a.m. Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker		

1	RECITALS			
2	A. AT&T Defendants have filed two motions to dismiss plaintiffs' Complaint			
3	(Dkt. 1), both of which currently are pending.			
4	B. On March 16, 2009, telecommunication carrier defendants, including AT&T			
5	Inc. and BellSouth Corporation, moved to dismiss the plaintiffs' Complaint for failure to			
6	state a claim upon which relief can be granted. See Mot. to Dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P.			
7	12(b)(6) (Dkt. 588). That same day, Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and Bell-			
8	South Corporation moved to dismiss the plaintiffs' Complaint for lack of personal jurisdic			
9	tion. See Mot. to Dismiss Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) (Dkt. 584).			
10	C. Both motions are currently scheduled to be heard on June 3, 2009. This			
11	stipulation concerns the latter motion, Docket Item No. 584.			
12	D. On May 7, 2009, plaintiffs filed a "Response to AT&T Inc. and BellSouth			
13	Corporations' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction" (Dkt. 613). In it, they princi-			
14	pally argued that, just as this Court "administratively terminated" without prejudice other			
15	motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction that previously were filed in this MDL			
16	(Dkt. 379), the Court should take similar action here.			
17	STIPULATION			
18	Plaintiffs and Specially Appearing Defendants hereby stipulate as follows:			
19	A. In order to promote the efficient handling of this matter, the parties have			
20	agreed they do not object to the Court taking the pending motion to dismiss for lack of per-			
21	sonal jurisdiction (Dkt. 584) off of the Court's argument calendar and deferring a decision			
22	on it.			
23	B. The parties further agree that by reaching this agreement AT&T Inc. and			
24	BellSouth Corporation have not waived their personal jurisdiction objections, that any delay			
25	in deciding the personal jurisdiction motion as a result of this agreement shall not be as-			
26	serted or held to prejudice those objections, and that by reaching this agreement no party			
27	has waived any argument presented in the briefing in connection with the pending motion			
28				

1	to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. In addition, because of the filing of this stipula-				
2	tion AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation have not filed their reply brief in support of				
3	their motion to dismiss, and the parties agree that AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation				
4	retain their right to do so when the motion is restored to this Court's argument calendar.				
5	D. The parties further agree that by reaching this agreement, plaintiffs have not				
6	waived whatever rights to amend their complaints to add additional or substitute parties in				
7	the future that they otherwise would have, and that delay in deciding the personal jurisdic-				
8	tional motion as a result of this agreement shall not be asserted or held to prejudice any				
9	such amendments.				
10	E. The parties undertake that, if any party subsequently concludes that it is no				
11	longer appropriate to defer resolution of the motion to dismiss, that party will promptly no-				
12	tify the other parties and then the Court.				
13	Respectfully submitted,				
14	Dated: May 13, 2009				
15	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP David W. Carpenter (pro hac vice)				
16	Bradford A. Berenson (pro hac vice) David L. Lawson (pro hac vice)				
	Edward R. McNicholas (pro hac vice)				
17	Eric A. Shumsky #206164 1501 K Street, N.W.				
18	Washington, DC 20005				
10	Tel: (202) 736-8010				
19	Fax: (202) 736-8711 bberenson@sidley.com				
20	·				
21	By: <u>/s/ Bradford A. Berenson</u> Bradford A. Berenson				
22	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW				
23	PITTMAN LLP Bruce A. Ericson #76342				
24	Jacob R. Sorensen #209134 Marc H. Axelbaum #209855				
25	50 Fremont Street Post Office Box 7880				
26	San Francisco, CA 94120 Tel.: (415) 983-1000				
27	Fax: (415) 983-1200 bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com				
28	2				

1	Attorneys for Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation			
2				
3	THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN E. SCHWARZ, ESQ., LLC			
4	Steven E. Schwarz, Esq.			
5	2461 W. Foster Ave., #1W Chicago, IL 60625			
6	Telephone: (773) 837-6134 Facsimile: (773) 837-6134			
7	By: <u>/s/ Steven E. Schwarz</u> Steven E. Schwarz			
8	BRUCE I. AFRAN, Esq.			
9	10 Braeburn Drive Princeton, NJ 08540			
10	Telephone: (609) 924-2075			
11	MAYER LAW GROUP, LLC Carl J. Mayer			
	66 Witherspoon Street, Suite 414			
12	Princeton, NJ 08542 Telephone: (609) 921-8025			
13	Facsimile: (609) 921-6964			
14	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs			
15				
16	DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B			
17	I, Marc H. Axelbaum, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I			
18	have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from the signatories listed			
19	above.			
20	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.			
21	Executed on May 13, 2009, at San Francisco, California.			
22				
23	By: /s/ Marc H. Axelbaum			
24	Marc H. Axelbaum			
25	Attorney for Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth			
26	Corporation			
27				
28				
ں_	2			

1	<u> [PROPOSED] ORDER</u>				
2	Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court				
3	ORDERS the following:				
4	A. The motion of Specially Appearing Defendants	AT&T Inc. and BellSouth			
5	Corporation to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction (Dkt. 58	34) is hereby taken off of the			
6	Court's June 3, 2009 argument calendar.				
7	B. If any party subsequently concludes that it is no	longer appropriate to defer			
8	resolution of the motion to dismiss, that party will promptly	notify the other parties and			
9	then the Court.				
10	C. By reaching the foregoing Stipulation:				
11	(1) Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc.	and BellSouth Corporation			
12	have not waived their personal jurisdiction objections;				
13	(2) any delay in deciding the personal jurisdicti	on motion as a result of the			
14	foregoing Stipulation shall not be asserted or held to pre	judice those objections;			
15	(3) no party has waived any argument presented	in the briefing in connection			
16	with the pending motion;				
17	(4) Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc.	and BellSouth Corporation			
18	retain their right to file a reply brief in support of the	motion if and when it is re-			
19	stored to this Court's argument calendar;				
20	(5) Plaintiffs have not waived whatever rights to	o amend their complaints to			
21	add additional or substitute parties in the future that they	otherwise would have; and			
22	//				
23	//				
24	//				
25	//				
26	//				
27	//				
28	4				

(6) any delay in deciding the personal jurisdictional motion as a result of the foregoing Stipulation shall not be asserted or held to prejudice any such amend-ments. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. May 15 Dated: 2009. Hon IS SO ORDERED udge Vaughn R Walker